G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 167

Serial Nos. 1–4

Papers Filed.

Month January 1907

SUBJECT.

Unauthorized use of Sweta Chatri & Hagalu Deevatige by one Nanjaiya of Kurubanka, Arsikere Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 167-06

SUBJECT.

Re the use of Sweta Chatri and Hagalu Meevatige by a private individual

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

Rubkari No. 488, dated 8th January 1907

One Shamanna of Kunvanka village in the Banavar Hobli, Arsikere Taluk, petitioned to the Amildar and the Deputy Commissioner, complaining that one Matada Nanjaiya of the same village conducted the marriage procession of his brother through the streets of the village, using Hagalu Meevatige and Sweta Chatri which were the insignias, properly due to Gods, Gurus and the Maharaja. The Deputy Commissioner having directed the Amildar to enquire

into the matter, the latter summoned the parties concerned and their witnesses and took their depositions which are submitted with the Rubkari cited above. From the depositions it is observed that the said Nanjaiya admits having conducted the marriage procession from the Bhavi Devaru temple in the village, using ~~Kaigal~~ 2 pattis Ideevadies and an umbrella of red cloth for covering the Kalasa which used to be taken on such marriage processions in accordance with mamool only after the setting of the sun. On the other hand, the complainant avers that the said Nanjaiya did use the Kaigalu Theevatige and the Sweta Chatri while it was still day and when asked to desist, he used insulting words and even threatened that he would use Makara Thorana and other insignias. The complainant has also produced a mahazar of the villagers disapproving of the insignias used. The signatories of the mahazar were also examined, and they, seven in number, viz the village Shanbhogue, 3 Brahmins and 3 non-Brahmins, confirm what has been said by the

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

complainant the Deputy Commissioner submits the Rubkari cited above together with all the connected papers for the orders of Govt.

4 Submitted with

to Hon'ble [illegible] / [illegible]
28/1/07

Returned

Read
29.1.07

29/1/07

CKW.

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued.

The draft approved by the Councillor was accordingly issued.

The Dy. Commissioner has in his report of the 12th instant, furnished the needful information called for on the subject together with the records relating thereto.

Nanjaiya deposes before the Amildar of the Arsikere Taluk that there is a branch matt at Kuruvanka subordinate to Lingayet Kelagina - matt at Arsikere and that he is known as Mahasa Nanjaiya as he is looking after the matt affairs at Kuruvanka. He further states that the bhatri in the matt is used for the Kalasa which is taken along with the marriage couple (but was not used for the marriage couple)-

(See his statement dy 21st may 190) (Slip-5)

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

He adds that the Kelagina mall at Sirikere is not endowed with Inam lands, that he does not know whether this mall possesses any Sanad authorizing the use of Hagalu Deevatige and Sweta Chatri; that a week's time may be permitted to enable him to obtain from the mall and produce these documents and that if he fails to do so, Govt. may pass such orders as they ~~deem~~ deem fit.

As regards the status of Nanjaiya, the Amildar reports that he is only (Slip I
an ordinary man with no status whatever, that he belongs to the orthodox class among Sivachars and gets his livelihood by alms given by Sivachar people and that he does not belong to any institution under muzrai management. The Dy. Commissioner (Slip II

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

reports the foregoing facts in his communication cited above.

Submitted

Nanjayya in his deposition states that the white silk umbrella was held over the Kalasa in front of the married couple & not over the heads of the latter

He appears to be an orthodox Lingayat not being an Aiya of any mutt under Govt management.

Under the circs may the Dy Comm's letter be recorded with the request that Nanjayya shd be warned not to use any Chatri or other insignia which are objectionable & unauthorized & unusual?

Submitted
4/17.12.07
255

The Peter also may be informed accordingly.

Thanda Tahsildar
18/12/07

Draft Subm'd
18/12/07

Subd to the 24th
Approved
18/12/07

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

1906-07

MYSORE G.
3378
11.1.07

From
P. R. W. Wetherall Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To
Lo. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A
Secretary to the government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Mysore Department.
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No

Subject.

Submitting Reibakari No. 488 of 8th January 1907 regarding the petition of Kuruvanka Shamanna complaining that one Ranjaiya of the same village in Banavar Hobli, Arsikere Taluk, went on a procession using "Hagaladivitike" and "Ewela chatre"

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02

ಪುಟ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
488

ರೂಪುಕಾರಿ.

ಪಿ, ಆರ್, ರಾಜ್ಯಾ, ವಕೀಲರ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರ್, ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ
ಕಮೀಷನರ್, ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ ರವರಿಗೆ

ಇಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರವು ಇವತ್ತಿನ ದಿವಸ 1917 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದಾಗಿದೆ.

ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಂತೆ
ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಕಮೀಷನರ್
ಮೈಸೂರು.

ಅಶೋಕಪುರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶಾಂತಿಯಾದ ಪ್ರೇಮಮಯ ಕಾಲುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ದಾಸಾನುದಾಸನು ಅದೇ ಸಮಾಧಿಯ ಸಂಬ್ಬೆಯು ಸಂಬಂಧವನು ಹೊಂದಿ
ಬಹಳ ಹಗಲು ಪೀಡಿತನಾಗಿ ಸಿಡಿಲು ಬಿಳುವಂತೆ ಕನ್ನಡ ಕಾಲ್ಪನಿಕ
ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವಾಗಲೇ ಲೆಕ್ಕವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಮಾಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರೇಮದ ನಡೆದು
ಅಳಿಯುಳಿಯುವುದು. ಅಮಲಿದಾರರು ಸಂಜೀವನಕ್ಕೆ ಉದ
ಪಡೆದು ನಂಬಿಕೆಯುಳ್ಳ ಹಗಲು ಹೊತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ದೀನತೆಯಿಂದ
ಸಗಟು ಪಡೆಯುವಂತೆ ಶ್ರೀಕಲ್ಪವೃಕ್ಷ ಸಹ ಮಿಶ್ರಿತ ಗೊಂಡು ಹಂಚುವ ಅಲಂಕಾರ
ಸಮಾಧಿದಂಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಳುತ್ತಿದೆ 455 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಸಮಾಧಿ
ಕಲ್ಲಿಗೆ. ಶ್ರೀರಕ್ಷಾ ಮಂದಿರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಚಿನ ಗಡಿ 694 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾಲ್ಕು ಮಾ
ಕೂಡಲು ಬಿಡಿ ನಡುವಳಿಯು ಸ್ಥಿರವಾಗಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಆಡಳಿತ
ಬಾಳ್ವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಗೂ ಕಿಲುಬಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಿಮಿಕೀಟ ಕಾಗದ ಪತ್ರ ಮುಂದಿನ
ರೂಪು ನಿಷೇಧ -

Misc. I A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

Dated

Despatched 4.2.07

Received

General No. 376
No.

Enclosure No. One file

With reference to his letter No. 488, dated 8th January 1907, reporting in the matter of the unauthorized use of the Hagalu Meevatige and Sweta Chatri by one Nanjaiya of Kurnvanka, Arsikere Taluk, during the marriage procession of his brother, has the honour to request that ~~a searching~~ further enquiry may be made and information furnished on the following points

GPB—3,000—21-7 06

(1) Whether any Matt is situated at Kurunanka or thereabouts and why the person ~~against~~ complained against is named Matada Nanjanya; and what is his status

(2) To what institution do the Hagalu Neevatige and the Sweta Chatri belong, and whether such institution is one under Muzrai management.

2. If the said Nanjanya be not connected with any instn. under Muzrai management, it is not understood how his case can be dealt with by Govt in the Muzrai Deptt. ~~It would perhaps fall under the cognizance of a judicial tribunal~~

3 The enclosures are retd herewith

By order,

28/1/07

Secretary

29/1/

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT 2500 14 12

FROM

K.R.Srinivasiengar, Esqr., M.A,

Deputy Commissioner,
HASSAN DISTRICT.

To

L.Ananthasami Rao, Esqr., B.A,
Secretary to the Govt. of H.H.
The Maharaja of Mysore,
Huzrai Dept. BANGALORE.

Dated 11th. December 1907.

Despatched /12

Received

General No. 256
No. S.

Enclosure No. V.P.

Subject.

Submitting further information on certain points in the matter of the unauthorized use of the Hagalu Deevatige and Srethe Chatri by one Nanjaiya of Kuruvanka in the Arsikere Taluk.

No. 256 Ex V.P.
S

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District,
11th. December 1907.

From,

K.R.Sreenivasiengar, Esqr., M.A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To,

L.Ananthasami Rao, Esqr., B.A,
Secretary to the Government Of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket No. 3776 dated 4th. February 1907 calling for further information on certain points in the matter of the unauthorized use of the Hagalu Deevatige and Swetha chatri by one Nanjaiya of Kuruvanka in the Arsikere Taluk I have the honour to state as follows on the several points noted therein : -

(1) The Amildar reports that there is a branch Lingayet Matt at Kuruvanka subordinate to Kelagina Matt at Arsikere, the latter having no inam lands endowed to it. Nanjaiya is known as Matada Nanjaiya as he is said to look after the Matt affairs at Kuruvanka Concerning his status the Amildar reports that he is only an ordinary man with no status whatever, that he belongs to orthodox class among Sivachars ,and gets his livelihood by alms given by the Siva -char people.

(2) The chatri in the Matt is said to be used for the kalasa (ಕಳಸ) of the God which is taken along with the marriage procession,and Nanjaiya is reported to have stated thet the same had not been used for the marriage couple, and that he said in his statemant before the Amildar on 21-5-07 that he would produce Sannads

in support of the same, and that he has not done so till now.

2 The Amildar further states that Nanjaiya does not belong to any institution under Government management.

3. The Vernacular Papers ending with Yadi No. 920 dated 6th December 1907 are herewith submitted for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,

M.P.C. Hassan District.

Dft. as per orders
Murzban.

26. 12 07

Read;

Letter no. 256 dated 11th December 1904 from the Deputy Commr., Hassan Distt. reporting in regard to the alleged unauthorized use of the ~~Hagala~~ Hagalu Deevatige and Sweta Chattri by one Nanjaiya of Kuruvanka during his brother's marriage procession in the Arsikere Taluk, that there is a branch Lingayet matt at Kuruvanka subordinate to Kelagina matt at Arsikere, the latter having no inam lands endowed to it; that the matt affairs at Kuruvanka are being looked after by Nanjaiya, an ordinary man with no status belonging to the orthodox class among Sivachars; that the Chattri in the matt is said to be used for the Kalasa of the God is taken

long with the marriage
procession; and further that Nanjaiya
does not belong to any
institution under Govt.
management and that
he is reported to have stated
that the same had not
~~to~~ been used for the marriage
couple.

Recorded. 2985 Encl file 3/1/08

2) Nanjaiya should be
~~cautioned to record~~,
warned not to use any
chattri or other unauthorized
insignia which are objectionable
~~and objectionable insignia~~
and contrary to magnl.
~~Contrary to record~~.

3) The petitioner
Shamanna of Kuduvanka
should be informed accordingly.

(sd) 2/1/08

Secretary.

To
The Dy. Commr, Hassan
Distt. returning the vernacular